



# Characterization and Far-Field Plume analysis of the HET-X Hall Effect Thruster

High Power Electric Propulsion Lab

Adrian Vicente, Ph.D.(c)

Dan Lev, Ph.D.

Mitchell Walker, Ph.D.

Earth Observant Inc. Michael Holmes, Ph.D. Nate Rosenblad

08/08/2024





- Space electric propulsion (EP) is a branch of in-space propulsive technology. It encompasses any propulsion technology in which electrical power is used to increase the propellant exhaust velocity.
- EP serves as an attractive alternative to conventional chemical propulsion due to its **high specific impulse** (*I*<sub>sp</sub>) and **fuel efficiency**. By achieving higher exhaust velocities, an equivalent delta-V can be accomplished for a fraction of the propellant mass.
- Across both large spacecraft and SmallSat missions, EP is consistently favorable for long-duration and high delta-V missions.

| Propulsion<br>Category | Thruster       | Specific<br>Impulse (s) | Total<br>Efficiency (%) |   |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Chemical               | Monopropellant | 150 - 225               | -                       |   |
| Chemical               | Bipropellant   | 300 - 450               | -                       |   |
| Electric               | Arcjet         | 500 - 600               | 24 - 45                 |   |
| Electric               | Hall Thruster  | 1500 - 3000             | 35 - 60                 |   |
| Electric               | lon Thruster   | 2500 - 6000             | 40 - 80                 | Electric thruster use<br>NASA's DART miss |

• A Hall Effect Thruster (HET) is an electrostatic thruster that uses crossed magnetic and electrostatic fields to ionize and accelerate its propellant.

Hall Effect Thruster

- Compared to other EP technologies, HETs boast greater thrust output and thrust efficiency at high power levels.
- In the last decade alone, they have successfully flown on thousands of spacecraft in the private and public sectors. Research and development of HETs has expand their role to deep-space and VLEO applications.



OKB Fakel SPT-100, 1.35kW Thruster



Busek BHT-100, 100W Thruster

Georgia Tech

### Georgia High Power Electric Propulsion Lab



- While reliable, HETs remain poorly understood and are thus difficult to predictively model.
- HET development is a largely empirical process guided by heavily iterative design cycles. Highly specialized facilities are required for this.
- Georgia Tech's High Power Electric Propulsion Lab (HPEPL) focuses on the characterization of EP devices, plasma physics, and vacuum test facility effects on the performance of EP devices.
- VTF-2 Specifications:

| Length:        | 9.0 m          |
|----------------|----------------|
| Diameter:      | 4.2 m          |
| Pumping speed: | 350,000 L/s Xe |



HPEPL, Vacuum Test Facility 2 Exterior



HPEPL, Vacuum Test Facility 2 Interior





- HPEPL entered collaboration with EOI Space in the development of their HET prototype: **HET-X**.
- HET-X operates at moderate-to-high operating power, has a small form factor, and is designed to operate on **various propellants**.



HET-X, Front View



HET-X experimental installation

0.6 Total Power (kW)



6

0.8

••

· All setpoints 🔺 Max Isp

Max T/P

1.0

1.2

# **Thruster Design** 80

# 60 (mN/kW) 200 40 30

70

Γ 20

10

04







HET-X channel dimension adjustment

## Phase 2 **Plasma Characterization**

Plasma diagnostics employed on HET









- The objective is to obtain empirical performance trends and identify the optimal thruster inputs for a desired configuration.
- The design space is greatly reduced by first generating an **IVB map**. After this, a detailed ٠ survey is performed in which all six thruster inputs are varied. Thrust, propellant flow, and power consumption are measured. Efficiency is computed.



HET-X Setpoint Survey, n vs P

7





 The performance survey identified two optimal thruster configurations: Max. I<sub>sP</sub> and Max. T/P Ratio.



Operation of HET-X thruster prototype

| <b>Table 1.</b> HET-X Inputs for 1 | nax I <sub>sp</sub> and T/P configs. |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|

| Configuration            | Max. I <sub>sp</sub> | Max. T/P |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Discharge Voltage [V]    | 340                  | 150      |
| Discharge Current [A]    | 1.88                 | 3.84     |
| Inner Magnet Voltage [V] | 10.26                | 10.44    |
| Inner Magnet Current [A] | 2.53                 | 2.53     |
| Outer Magnet Voltage [V] | 4.39                 | 4.81     |
| Outer Magnet Current [A] | 0.88                 | 0.88     |
| HC Keeper Voltage [V]    | 26                   | 20       |
| HC Keeper Current [A]    | 0.2                  | 0.2      |
| Total Power [W]          | 674                  | 611      |
| Cathode-to-Ground [V]    | -15.5                | -15.0    |
| Anode Flow [Xe mg/s]     | 2.44                 | 3.90     |
| Cathode Flow [Xe mg/s]   | 0.29                 | 0.97     |
| Facility Pressure [Torr] | 1.00E-06             | 2.20E-06 |

8



## Georgia Tech Far-Field Plasma Diagnostics



- Traditional plasma diagnostic probes are invasive and known to strongly perturb the discharge plasma of an HET.
- In HET characterization, plasma diagnostics must be swept through the far-field plume (~1m downstream).
- The efficacy of internal ionization and acceleration processes must be inferred from downstream measurements.



Far-Field Probes (left) and HET-X thruster (right)



Hemispherical sweep footage





## Georgia Tech Characterization; Voltage Util. Eff.



- The voltage utilization efficiency,  $\eta_v$ , describes how much of the voltage provided by the discharge supply is effectively used to accelerate the ions.
- A **Retarding Potential Analyzer** (RPA) is comprised of several biased grids which decelerate incoming ions. This prove ascertains the ion energy distribution function.
- Voltage Utilization efficiency is defined as the most probable ion energy per charge divided by discharge voltage.

$$f(E_i/q_i) = -\left(\frac{m_i}{A_C q_i^2 e^2 n_i}\right) \frac{dI_C}{dV_3} \propto -\frac{dI_C}{dV_3}$$
$$V_{RPA} = V_3 \left(-\frac{dI_C}{dV_3}\right)_{max}$$
$$\eta_v = \frac{V_{RPA}}{V_D}$$



RPA Probe

RPA Electrical Schematic



RPA trace of Max  $I_{sp}$  config. at 0° from centerline

#### **Georgia** Tech Characterization; Divergence & Current Eff.



- The **divergence efficiency**,  $\eta_d$ , describes how much of the kinetic energy imparted to the ion is axial and thus produces thrust.
- The current utilization efficiency,  $\eta_b$  , describes how much of the discharge current is carried by ions instead of electrons.
- A **Faraday Probe** is employed to measure the ion current density profile of the HET.

$$I_B = 2\pi R^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} j[\theta] \frac{\kappa_D}{\kappa_A} \sin(\theta) \, d\theta$$

$$I_A = 2\pi R^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} j[\theta] \frac{\kappa_D}{\kappa_A} \cos(\alpha_A) \sin(\theta) \, d\theta$$

$$\lambda = \cos^{-1}(I_A/I_B)$$
$$\eta_d = (\cos(\lambda))^2 \qquad \eta_b$$





Faraday Probe

Faraday Probe Elec. Schem.



Ion current density at 1.0 m from thruster face



- **Divergence efficiency** was identified as a mode for improvement.
- The prototype has demonstrated performance characteristics that are comparable to those of similar subkW HETs from other established developers.
- This initial round of HET-X development was performed in 2022. Since then, several design iterations have passed to improve the efficiency and reliability.
- Subsequent research consisted of improving performance on various other gases to verify its "propellant agnosticism."

Configuration Max.  $I_{sp}$ Max. T/P Thrust [mN] 37.8 42.8 Specific Impulse  $I_{sp}$  [s] 1576.0 1116.2 T/P Ratio [mN/kW] 56.0 70.2 Total Efficiency  $\eta$  [-] 30.8% 38.7% Beam Efficiency  $\eta_h$  [-] 92.4% 89.5% Divergence Efficiency  $\eta_d[-]$ 85.4% 80.0% Volt. Util. Efficiency  $\eta_{V}$  [-] 93.6% >85.4%

**Table 2.** HET-X performance for max  $I_{sp}$  and T/P configs.

| Table 3. | Comparative | HET Performan | ce on Xenon [19 | 9] |
|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|----|
|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|----|

| Manufacturer | Product                      | P (W) | T ( <u>mN</u> ) | $I_{sp}\left(\mathbf{s}\right)$ |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Astra        | ASE                          | 400   | 25              | 1400                            |
| Busek        | BHT-600                      | 600   | 39              | 1495                            |
| EDB Fakel    | SPT-70M                      | 660   | 41              | 1580                            |
| EOI          | HET-X (max I <sub>sp</sub> ) | 674   | 38              | 1576                            |
| EOI          | HET-X (max $T/P$ )           | 611   | 43              | 1116                            |
| Safran       | PPS-X00                      | 650   | 43              | 1530                            |
| SITAEL       | HT400                        | 615   | 28              | 1116                            |

## Georgia Tech Acknowledgements





Prof. Mitchell Walker Georgia Tech

Prof. Dan Lev

Georgia Tech



G

Georgia Tech.





Michael Holmes EOI

Nate Rosenblad

EOI



HPEPL, highly-caffeinated undergraduate research assistants



